## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC.,	) ) )
Plaintiff,	)
V.	Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00504-LY
INNOVATIVE WIRELESS SOLUTIONS, LLC,	) ) HIDV TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED )

## PLAINTIFF RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC.'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANT INNOVATIVE WIRELESS SOLUTIONS, LLC'S COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff Ruckus Wireless, Inc. ("Ruckus"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, answers the Counterclaims of Defendant Innovative Wireless Solutions, LLC ("IWS") (D.I. 19) by corresponding paragraph number as follows:

1. Ruckus denies the allegations in this paragraph to the extent they incorporate IWS's denials and defenses to allegations contained in Ruckus' Complaint all of which are denied by Ruckus. By way of further response, Ruckus incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in its Complaint as though set forth fully herein.

### RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS REGARDING NATURE OF THIS ACTION

2. Ruckus admits that IWS purports to assert a patent infringement action against Ruckus pursuant to Federal law.

## RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE PARTIES

- 3. Ruckus admits that IWS is a Texas limited liability company. Otherwise denied.
- 4. Admitted.

#### RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS REGARDING JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Admitted.
- 6. Ruckus admits that this Court possesses personal jurisdiction over Ruckus for this action only by virtue of Ruckus filing the Complaint in this action. Otherwise denied.
- 7. Ruckus admits that venue is proper in this judicial district but denies that venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

### RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS REGARDING FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 8. Ruckus admits that United States Patent No. 5,912,895 (the "'895 Patent") is entitled "Information network access apparatus and methods for communicating information packets via telephone lines" and that it bears an issue date of June 15, 1999. Ruckus admits that a copy of the '895 Patent is attached as Exhibit "D" to Ruckus' Complaint. Ruckus denies that the '895 Patent was lawfully issued and denies the allegations in this paragraph insofar as they allege that the '895 Patent discloses or claims a patentable invention.
- 9. Ruckus admits that United States Patent No. 6,327,264 (the "'264 Patent") is entitled "Information network access apparatus and methods for communicating information packets via telephone lines" and that it bears an issue date of December 4, 2001. Ruckus admits that a copy of the '264 Patent is attached as Exhibit "E" to Ruckus' Complaint. Ruckus denies that the '264 Patent was lawfully issued and denies the allegations in this paragraph insofar as they allege that the '264 Patent discloses or claims a patentable invention.
- 10. Ruckus admits that United States Patent No. 6,587,473 (the "'473 Patent")<sup>1</sup> is entitled "Information network access apparatus and methods for communicating information packets via telephone lines" and that it bears an issue date of July 1, 2003. Ruckus admits that a

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The '895, '264, and '473 Patens are collectively referred to as the "patents-in-suit."

copy of the '473 Patent is attached as Exhibit "F" to Ruckus' Complaint. Ruckus denies that the '473 Patent was lawfully issued and denies the allegations in this paragraph insofar as they allege that the '473 Patent discloses or claims a patentable invention.

11. Ruckus is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in this paragraph and, therefore, they are denied.

## RESPONSE TO COUNT I INFRINGEMENT OF THE '895 PATENT

- 12. Ruckus incorporates its responses to the foregoing paragraphs by reference as though set forth fully herein.
- 13. Ruckus admits that it had knowledge of the '895 Patent prior to filing its

  Complaint in this action and as early as it received indemnity demands from end users that have purchased Ruckus products and were sued by IWS. Otherwise denied.
  - 14. Denied.
  - 15. Denied.
  - 16. Denied.
  - 17. Denied.
  - 18. Denied.
- 19. Ruckus admits that it became aware of the '895 Patent after IWS sued Ruckus's customers. Otherwise, denied.

## RESPONSE TO COUNT II INFRINGEMENT OF THE '264 PATENT

20. Ruckus incorporates its responses to the foregoing paragraphs by reference as though set forth fully herein.

- 21. Ruckus admits that it had knowledge of the '264 Patent prior to filing its

  Complaint in this action and as early as it received indemnity demands from end users that have

  purchased Ruckus products and were sued by IWS. Otherwise denied.
  - 22. Denied.
  - 23. Denied.
  - 24. Denied.
  - 25. Denied.
- 26. Ruckus admits that it became aware of the '264 Patent after IWS sued Ruckus's customers. Otherwise, denied.

# RESPONSE TO COUNT III INFRINGEMENT OF THE '473 PATENT

- 27. Ruckus incorporates its responses to the foregoing paragraphs by reference as though set forth fully herein.
- 28. Ruckus admits that it had knowledge of the '473 Patent prior to filing its

  Complaint in this action and as early as it received indemnity demands from end users that have purchased Ruckus products and were sued by IWS. Otherwise denied.
  - 29. Denied.
  - 30. Denied.
  - 31. Denied.
  - 32. Denied.
  - 33. Denied.
- 34. Ruckus admits that it became aware of the '473 Patent after IWS sued Ruckus's customers. Otherwise, denied.

### RESPONSE TO PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Ruckus denies that IWS is entitled to the relief requested in its counterclaims or to any other relief.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

IWS's counterclaims fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

#### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

IWS's counterclaims fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted for indirect patent infringement, including contributory infringement and inducement of infringement.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Each claim of the patents-in-suit is invalid for failure to comply with the requirements for patentability as set forth in Title 35, United States Code, including at least Sections 102, 103, and/or 112 thereof, and/or under other judicially-created bases for invalidity.

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Ruckus is not infringing and has not infringed, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the patents-in-suit, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Ruckus is not willfully infringing and has not willfully infringed any valid and enforceable claim of the patents-in-suit.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This is an exceptional case, and Ruckus is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Upon information and belief, as a result of prosecution before the Patent and Trademark Office leading to the issuance of the patents-in-suit, and by reason of admissions and/or amendments made by or on behalf of IWS, IWS is estopped from claiming infringement by Ruckus of one or more claims of the patents-in-suit. Moreover, any claim of infringement of the patents-in-suit under the doctrine of equivalents would be limited by prosecution history estoppel.

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

IWS's right to seek damages is limited, including without limitation by 35 U.S.C. §§ 286 and 287.

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

IWS is barred by 35 U.S.C. § 288 from recovering any costs associated with this suit.

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

IWS has suffered no damages.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE / RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Ruckus is presently investigating the facts relating to the procurement of the patents-in-suit and the assertions of infringement against Ruckus, and will continue to do so throughout the discovery process. To the extent that this investigation reveals any improprieties in connection with such matters, Ruckus reserves the right to seek leave to amend to assert such allegations and/or defenses based thereon that may be appropriate.

Ruckus reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses as they become known through further investigation and discovery.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Ruckus prays for:

A dismissal of all claims in IWS'S counterclaims against Ruckus with prejudice (a)

and a complete denial of IWS's requests for damages, costs, attorney fees, and any other form of

relief such that IWS takes nothing by its counterclaims;

A declaration that each claim of the '895, '264, and '473 Patents is invalid; (b)

An order that IWS and each of its officers, employees, agents, attorneys, and any (c)

persons in active concert or participation with them are restrained and enjoined from further

prosecuting or instituting any action against Ruckus or the purchasers of Ruckus' products

claiming that the '895, '264, and '473 Patents are infringed or from representing that Ruckus'

products or their use in the networks operated by the purchasers of those products infringe the

'895, '264, and '473 Patents;

(d) A declaration that this is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285;

(d) An award to Ruckus of its costs and attorneys' fees;

(e) Such other relief as this Court or a jury may deem proper and just under the

circumstances.

**JURY DEMAND** 

Ruckus demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 9/3/2013 /s/ Jeffrey S. Pollack

> Woody Jameson wjameson@duanemorris.com

Matthew S. Yungwirth msyungwirth@duanemorris.com

DUANE MORRIS LLP

7

Suite 2000 1075 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309 Tel: 404-253-6900

Fax: 404-253-6901

Jeffrey S. Pollack jspollack@duanemorris.com DUANE MORRIS LLP 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: 215-979-1000

Fax: 215-979-1000

Diana M. Sangalli dmsangalli@duanemorris.com DUANE MORRIS LLP 1330 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 800 Houston, TX 77056

Tel: 713-402-3900 Fax: 713-402-3901

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5. As such, the foregoing was served on all counsel of record via the Court's ECF Systems on August 9, 2013.

/s/ Jeffrey S. Pollack
Jeffrey S. Pollack